

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Small Ruminant Research 68 (2007) 114-125

Small Ruminant Research

www.elsevier.com/locate/smallrumres

Monitoring goat and sheep milk somatic cell counts $\stackrel{\text{tr}}{\sim}$

M.J. Paape^{a,*}, G.R. Wiggans^b, D.D. Bannerman^a, D.L. Thomas^c, A.H. Sanders^b, A. Contreras^d, P. Moroni^e, R.H. Miller^b

^a Bovine Functional Genomics Laboratory, USDA-ARS, Beltsville, MD 20705, USA

^b Animal Improvement Programs Laboratory, USDA-ARS, Beltsville, MD 20705, USA

^c Department of Animal Sciences, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA

^d Animal Health Department, Murcia University, 30071 Murcia, Spain

e Department of Animal Pathology, Hygiene and Veterinary Public Health, University of Milano, via Celoria 10, 20133 Milano, Italy

Available online 29 November 2006

Abstract

The milk somatic cell count (MSCC) forms the basis of abnormal milk control programs world wide for goats, cows and sheep. To better understand factors that contribute to elevations in MSCC, the effects of stage of lactation, parity, breed and state/area in the United States (US) on MSCC were examined. Least squares means were calculated on composite milk somatic cell scores from 26,607 goats, 5,944,614 cows and 2197 sheep and the results converted back to MSCC. For goats and cows, MSCC increased with stage of lactation and parity. Counts for cows were lower than counts for goats. By the fifth parity, counts for goats increased to 1,150,000 ml⁻¹, exceeding the 1,000,000 ml⁻¹ legal limit for goat milk in the US, whereas maximum counts for cows averaged only 300,000 ml⁻¹, less than the 750,000 ml⁻¹ legal limit in the US and 400,000 in the European Union (EU). Currently, there is no legal limit for goat milk in the EU. For sheep, MSCC for first parity were higher than for later parities. For later parities, MSCC decreased with advanced lactation. Cell counts for sheep milk were similar to counts for cow milk. Breed and state/area contributed to variation in cell count for goats and cows. Data were not available for sheep. Studies in the US and EU examined non-infectious factors contributing to elevations in cell counts. Non-infectious factors such as parity and stage of lactation had minimal effects on MSCC for cows and sheep, but had a major impact on counts for goats, and need to be considered when establishing legal limits for goat milk.

Published by Elsevier B.V.

Keywords: Somatic cell count; Abnormal milk; Stage of lactation; Goat milk; Sheep milk; Cow milk; Chemotaxis; Cytokines; PMN neutrophils

1. Introduction

Control of abnormal milk is the most complex and expensive technical problem facing dairymen today. The objective of an abnormal milk control program is to pre-

fax: +1 301 504 9498.

vent abnormal milk from entering channels of human consumption. The milk somatic cell count (MSCC) is the basis for abnormal milk control programs for cows, goats and sheep. In the United States (US) the legal MSCC limit established by the Food and Drug Administration for cows is 750,000 ml⁻¹ and for goats and sheep 1,000,000 ml⁻¹. In the European Union (EU) (Directive 92/46ECC Council, 1992) the legal limit for cows is 400,000 ml⁻¹ and there is no legal limit for goats and sheep. The MSCC has evolved into an accepted parameter for the evaluation of milk quality and as a management tool for dairymen worldwide. The direct

^{*} This paper is part of the special issue entitled "Goat and Sheep Milk" Guest edited by George Haenlein, Young Park, Ketsia Raynal-Ljutovac and Antonio Pirisi.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 301 504 8302;

E-mail address: mpaape@anri.barc.usda.gov (M.J. Paape).

^{0921-4488/\$ –} see front matter. Published by Elsevier B.V. doi:10.1016/j.smallrumres.2006.09.014

MSCC was developed to meet the need for a standardized reference method of determining MSCC in the abnormal milk control programs being implemented in the US and EU. While MSCC is an accepted procedure for evaluating cow and sheep milk, it is not applicable to goat milk. MSCC for uninfected goats appear to be higher than counts for uninfected cows and sheep. Factors other than intramammary infection such as management practices, stage of lactation, parity and caprine arthritis-encephalitis virus (CAEV) infection contribute to an elevation of MSCC of goats.

The need for a rapid, accurate and economical method for large scale counting of somatic cells in both bulk tank milk and milk from individual animals has long been recognized. A method was needed that could be integrated into laboratories that evaluated large numbers of milk samples. Coulter, Technicon optical, Foss and Bentley electronic cell counters were developed to fill this need. Because counting with the Coulter and Technicon optical counters required dispersal of fat in the milk and failed to fit into laboratories that also evaluated milk composition, their use soon lost favor as a means of determining MSCC. Currently, the Foss and Bentley electronic cell counters are the industry standards for determining MSCC.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Test-day somatic cell score (SCS)

Data for goats (years 2000–2004) and cows (years 2000–2005) on Dairy Herd Improvement test across the US were

submitted to the USDA Animal Improvement Programs Laboratory in Beltsville, MD, USA, and a GLM analysis of the SCS (log₂[SCC/100,000] + 3) for composite milk samples was used to estimate SCS means by year, stage of lactation, parity, breed and state/region in the US. For sheep, composite MSCS was obtained during 1997-2004, from a flock maintained by the Department of Animal Sciences, University of Wisconsin, Madison. The entire data set included composite milk SCS from 16,041 goats, 3,416,731 cows and 1010 ewes. Least squares means from the SAS GLM procedure were calculated on SCS and the results converted back to SCC. Effects for year of testing and stage of lactation by parity were estimated for all data sets. For goats and cows, breed effects and state/region in the US also were estimated, and for cows, month of calving and month of testing effects also were estimated. All effects within species were estimated simultaneously. All effects were significant based on the F-statistic.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Effect of parity and stage of lactation

Results from the above data set indicate that composite MSCC increased with increasing parity and stage of lactation for goats and cows but not for sheep (Figs. 1–3). For goats, counts were lowest at first parity, averaging approximately 200,000 ml⁻¹ at 15 days of lactation and reached maximum counts of around 500,000 ml⁻¹ at 285 days of lactation (Fig. 1). By the fifth parity, counts averaged approximately 250,000 ml⁻¹ at 15 days and increased to a maximum of approximately 1,150,000 ml⁻¹ at 285 days of lactation. While some of the increase in cell counts with increased parity and



Fig. 1. Effect of days in milk and lactation number on goat composite milk somatic cell counts (MSCC). Lactation 1, n = 10,130 goats; lactation 2, n = 6989 goats; lactation 3, n = 4617 goats; lactation 4, n = 2990 goats; lactation 5, n = 1881 goats.



Fig. 2. Effect of stage of lactation and lactation number on composite MSCC for cows. Lactation 1, n = 2,173,447 cows; lactation 2, n = 1,683,535 cows; lactation 3, n = 1,103,768 cows; lactation 4, n = 643,404 cows; lactation 5, n = 341,460 cows.

days in milk were probably attributable to increased intramammary infections, much of the increase was previously attributed to non-infectious factors (Paape and Contreras, 1997). Cell counts for uninfected mammary glands have been reported to increase with stage of lactation and parity (Dulin et al., 1983; Luengo et al., 2004). In the latter study, multiple births and short duration of lactation were also associated with elevated MSCC in healthy udders. In a recently published study (Moroni et al., 2005), a stage of lactation increase was observed for both infected and non-infected udders. By 170 days of lactation no difference in MSCC was observed between healthy and infected udders. The mean MSCC for udders free from intramammary infection (IMI) was $\log 3.9$ (7943 ml⁻¹) and from infected udders it was $\log 5.6$ (398,107 ml⁻¹).

In one study, it was determined that more than 90% of the variation in MSCC in goats was not due to IMI (Wilson et al., 1995). They reported that increasing days in milk and month of the year were among the most important factors contributing to increased cell count in the absence of IMI. To a lesser extent, parity and reduced milk production also contributed significantly to increased cell count. Interestingly, 75% of the



Fig. 3. Effect of stage of lactation and lactation number on composite MSCC for ewes. Lactation 1, n = 877 ewes; lactation 2, n = 722 ewes; lactation 3, n = 376 ewes; lactation 4, n = 173 ewes; lactation 5, n = 49 ewes.

variation was unexplained. The unexplained variation could be due to infections by *Mycoplasma*, anaerobic bacteria or even CAEV (Paape et al., 2001). Clinical intramammary infections by *Mycoplasma* is one of the most important causes of elevated MSCC in goat milk (Corrales et al., 2004), but the prevalence in the US is low (Wilson et al., 1995). On the other hand, the effect of CAEV on increased MSCC is low (Sánchez et al., 2001; Lerondelle et al., 1992), and similar to MSCC produced by coagulase-negative Staphylococci. In Murciano-Granadina goats free of CAEV and IMI, Sánchez et al. (1998) showed a progressive increase in geometric mean MSCC from first (140 × 10³ cell/ml) to fifth lactation (600 × 10³ cell/ml).

The increase in MSCC for stage of lactation, season and milk yield were associated with increasing parity. Most authors point out that the increase in MSCC throughout lactation could be explained by a dilution effect, because milk production decreases with increasing stage of lactation, and MSCC follows a linear increase throughout lactation. In addition, when date of parturition and stage of lactation are similar, the effect of season on MSCC was shown to be related to milk production (Sánchez et al., 1998). More recently, milking frequency $(1 \times \text{ versus } 2 \times)$ was shown to have no effect on MSCC over three parities (Salama et al., 2003). McDougall and Voermans (2002) reported that estrus resulted in increased MSCC, and was independent of infection status and milk yield. The influence of noninfectious factors on bulk tank MSCC for goats makes it difficult for goat dairymen to maintain MSCC below the US legal limit of $1,000,000 \text{ ml}^{-1}$ (Droke et al., 1993; Haenlein and Hinckley, 1995). These factors need to be considered when establishing the legal limit in the EU (Corrales et al., 2004; Luengo et al., 2004).

In the USDA data, composite MSCC for cows were considerably lower than counts for goats. In the first parity at 15 days after parturition, counts averaged approximately $110,000 \text{ ml}^{-1}$, decreased to approximately $70,000 \text{ ml}^{-1}$ at 45 days and then gradually increased throughout lactation to around $125,000 \text{ ml}^{-1}$ at 450 days (Fig. 2). By the fifth parity counts at day 15 increased to approximately $170,000 \text{ ml}^{-1}$ and reached maximum counts of around $300,000 \text{ ml}^{-1}$ at day 450 of lactation. For all five parities, lowest cell counts were observed at around 45 days of lactation. This was probably attributed in part to a dilution of the cells in milk with increased milk production as the cows approached peak lactation.

The high counts during early lactation could be attributed to a carry over of the high MSCC in colostrum, reported to be around 2000×10^3 ml⁻¹ (McDonald and

Anderson, 1981). The observed increase in cell counts after 45 days for all parities was probably attributed to the increased rate of intramammary infections. Regardless of intramammary infection status, MSCC are higher during the first few weeks after calving when compared to other stages of lactation (Sheldrake et al., 1983; McDonald and Anderson, 1981; Miller et al., 1986). The MSCC for cows has been reported to increase with stage of lactation and lactation number for infected mammary quarters but not for uninfected quarters (Paape et al., 1979; Eberhart et al., 1979; Jaartsveld et al., 1983; Sheldrake et al., 1983; Östensson, 1993). Within a given lactation, cell counts for uninfected quarters increased only 20×10^3 to 80×10^3 cells/ml (Sheldrake et al., 1983; Östensson, 1993). The stable MSCC during the large decrease in milk vield in late lactation suggests that the cell content of milk from uninfected quarters is unrelated to milk yield. This is further supported by the observation that the MSCC for morning and evening milkings rarely differed despite large fluctuations in milk yield (Natzke et al., 1972; Paape et al., 1979).

Interestingly, for the sheep flock studied, with the exception of ewes in their fifth parity where counts suddenly increased at 135 days of lactation, counts did not increase with parity (Fig. 3). This increase may be due to the small number (n=49) of ewes in this parity group, where a sudden increase in MSCC for some ewes would less likely get diluted out with low cell count milk. The increase with stage of lactation was less in first lactation ewes. In later parities, composite MSCC decreased slightly in the second month and then increased. Similar results were also reported by Othmane et al. (2002). They reported non-significant effects of stage of lactation and parity on MSCC. They attributed this to the strict mastitis control procedures used in that study. The geometric mean of composite MSCC of sheep uninfected mammary glands reported by others averaged $<100 \times 10^3 \text{ ml}^{-1}$ and was very similar to that of dairy cows (Marco, 1994; Gonzalez-Rodriguez et al., 1995; Romeo et al., 1996). Pengov (2001) reported that 64% of samples from 366 uninfected udder halves had MSCC less that $50 \times 10^3 \text{ ml}^{-1}$, 81.9% had counts less than 250×10^3 ml⁻¹ and 91.1% had counts less that 500×10^3 ml⁻¹. With the exception of 1-year-old ewes, Suarez et al. (2002) reported no effect of age or parity on MSCC. Rupp et al. (2003), however, reported no difference in MSCC in the first $(\log 3.13 [1349 \text{ ml}^{-1}])$ and second lactations ($\log 3.15 [1413 \text{ ml}^{-1}]$).

Various non-infectious factors have been associated with increased cell counts in sheep milk. The most significant are parity, stage of lactation, time of year, herd, handling of ewes and diurnal variation (Gonzalo et al.,



Fig. 4. Effect of year of Dairy Herd Improvement test date on composite MSCC for goats. Year 2000, n = 5923 goats; year 2001, n = 5720 goats; year 2002, n = 5298 goats; year 2003, n = 5139 goats; year 2004, n = 4527 goats. Mean (\pm standard error) MSCC are shown.

1994a,b; Gonzalo and San Primitivo, 1998). For uninfected mammary glands, MSCC are highest on the day of parturition $(596 \times 10^3 \text{ cells/ml})$ and decrease during the transition from colostrum to true milk, averaging 239×10^3 and 186×10^3 ml⁻¹ at 5 and 12 days of lactation. Counts continue to decrease with increasing milk production and reach minimum values of approximately 30×10^3 ml⁻¹ at the fifth week of lactation, which coincides with maximum milk production. Counts remained unchanged for the remainder of the lactation. The change in MSCC throughout lactation was studied by Romeo et al. (1996) at monthly intervals on 799 ewes of the Latxa breed. Uninfected mammary halves (n = 579) had mean MSCC of $185 \times 10^3 \text{ ml}^{-1}$ and never exceeded 477×10^3 ml⁻¹. Infected mammary halves (n = 92) averaged 1.5×10^6 ml⁻¹, and never fell below 1×10^6 ml⁻¹. Ewes (n = 128) with mammary halves that were intermittently infected averaged $576 \times 10^3 \text{ ml}^{-1}$. The number of lambs delivered at lambing does not influence the MSCC count (Gonzalo et al., 1994b). For the majority of milk producing breeds, there is seasonal breeding with most ewes lambing in the winter months. Thus, the seasonal increase in sheep MSCC reported by others appears to be linked to the normal lactation curve, where milk production is lowest during summer and winter months. In that study, a 4-11% increase in MSCC occurred between the first and fourth lactation. The diurnal and daily variations between milkings are similar to those observed in dairy cows. Gonzalo et al. (1994a,b) reported a 70% increase in the MSCC one hour immediately after milking. Other non-systematic factors contributing to variation in MSCC of ewes, such as changes in feeding, have not been studied.

3.2. Yearly trends

In the USDA data, interesting yearly trends were observed (Figs. 4-6). For goats, composite MSCC showed a modest increase over time (Fig. 4). Counts increased from 525.000 ml^{-1} in the year 2000 to $570,000 \text{ ml}^{-1}$ in 2004. This was surprising because of the recent emphasis to lower the legal limit for goat MSCC in the US from $1,000,000 \text{ ml}^{-1}$ to $750,000 \text{ ml}^{-1}$. Thus, one would expect a tendency for the cell count to decrease, not increase, over time. There is no set limit in the EU. For cow milk, composite MSCC averaged $142,000 \text{ ml}^{-1}$ in the year 2000 and decreased to 120,000 in 2005 (Fig. 5). The legal limit for cell counts for bulk tank cow milk in the US is 750,000 and $400,000 \text{ ml}^{-1}$ in the EU. A recent proposal made by the National Mastitis Council in the US to lower the MSCC regulatory limit to 400,000 by the year 2012 was rejected by delegates to the National Conference on Interstate Milk Shipments. For sheep milk, composite MSCC tended to be more variable, and increased slightly from $85,000 \text{ ml}^{-1}$ in 1997 to 105,000 ml⁻¹ in 2004 (Fig. 6).

3.3. Effect of breed

Breed differences were also observed in the USDA data for goats and cows (Figs. 7 and 8). Among the goat breeds, Oberhasli had the lowest cell counts



Fig. 5. Effect of year of Dairy Herd Improvement test date on composite MSCC for cows. Year 2000, n = 1,111,956 cows; year 2001, n = 1,137,603 cows; year 2002, n = 1,143,085 cows; year 2004, n = 1,162,478 cows; year 2005, n = 242,375 cows. Mean (±standard error) MSCC are shown.

 $(400,000 \text{ ml}^{-1})$ and Toggenburg the highest (650,000 ml⁻¹) (Fig. 7). For cows, smaller differences existed among breeds when compared to goats (Fig. 8). Milking Shorthorns had the lowest cell counts (125,000 ml⁻¹) and Guernseys the highest (145,000 ml⁻¹). Breed differences for goats and cows could be attributed to differences in intramammary infection, milk yield or genetics. Data were not available for the sheep breeds. However, in other studies (Gonzalo et al., 2005), breed differences on log bulk tank MSCC were observed.

Counts ranged from $\log 5.84$ (691,831 ml⁻¹) (Castellana breed) to $\log 6.09$ (1,230,269 ml⁻¹) (Awassi and Spanish Assaf), and supported results reported earlier by Gonzalez-Rodriguez et al. (1995).

3.4. State and regional differences

In the USDA data, significant state/regional differences were observed for goat and cow MSCC (Figs. 9 and 10). For goats, counts were greater than



Fig. 6. Effect of year of Dairy Herd Improvement test date on composite MSCC for ewes. Year 1997, n = 201 ewes; year 1998, n = 237 ewes; year 1999, n = 242 ewes; year 2000, n = 277 ewes; year 2001, n = 282 ewes; year 2002, n = 353 ewes; year 2003, n = 308 ewes, year 2004, n = 297 ewes. Mean (±standard error) MSCC are shown.



Fig. 7. Effect of breed on composite MSCC for goats. Alpine, n = 9653 goats; Saanen, n = 4619 goats; Experimental, n = 1165 goats; LaMancha, n = 2851 goats; Nubian, n = 4819 goats; Oberhasli, n = 859 goats; Toggenburg, n = 2641 goats. Mean (\pm standard error) MSCC are shown.

 $600,000 \text{ ml}^{-1}$ for Wisconsin, MN, USA, and the Southwest region, between 500,000 and $600,000 \text{ ml}^{-1}$ for Pennsylvania and Ohio, and between 400,000 and 500,000 ml⁻¹ for New York, Iowa, Maryland, Oregon and California (Fig. 9). It would appear that heat stress did not contribute to elevated MSCC in goat milk, because the Southwest region had counts comparable to Wisconsin and Minnesota. For California, most of the goat herds were located in Northern California, where the temperature is more moderate compared to Southern California (personal communication, Bill VerBoort, General Manager, California DHIA, Clovis, CA 93612,

USA). These data suggest that environmental temperature had little effect on goat MSCC, and that other factors contributed to the state and regional differences. Results from other studies also reported no effect of environmental temperature on goat MSCC (Sánchez et al., 1998).

An environmental effect was observed for cow MSCC (Fig. 10). Counts for the Southeast that included states like Florida, Georgia, Mississippi and Louisiana were greater than counts for New York, Pennsylvania, Wisconsin and California. Interestingly, most of the large dairy herds are located in Southern California where environmental temperatures are comparable to states in



Fig. 8. Effect of breed on composite MSCC for cows. Ayrshire, n = 139,243 cows; Brown Swiss, n = 315,895 cows; Guernsey, n = 227,794 cows; Holstein, n = 50,149,035 cows; Jersey, n = 3,164,043 cows; Milking Shorthorn, n = 56,640 cows; Red and White, n = 110,125 cows. Mean (±standard error) MSCC are shown.



Fig. 9. Herd test-day composite MSCC for goats by state and region of the United States during 2000–2004. NY, n = 3465 goats; PA, n = 1582 goats; OH, n = 2929 goats; WI, n = 3092 goats; MN, n = 3602 goats; IA, n = 1414; MD, n = 1173; Southwest, n = 1148 goats; OR, n = 3494 goats; CA, n = 4708 goats. Mean (±standard error) MSCC are shown.

the Southeast (personal communication, Bill VerBoort, General Manager, California DHIA, Clovis). This would suggest that perhaps humidity may have contributed to the increased counts. Also, mastitis control programs tend to be less vigorous for small herds when compared to large herds (Norman et al., 2000).

3.5. Differential MSCC

Unlike cow milk where macrophages are the predominant cell type (Östensson et al., 1988; Östensson, 1993; Miller et al., 1986), polymorphonuclear neutrophils (PMN) comprise the major cell type in milk from infected and uninfected mammary glands of goats (Dulin et al., 1983). For animals free of intramammary infection, PMN constitute 45–74% of the somatic cells in goat milk and 71–86% for infected mammary halves. Macrophages comprise 15–41% of the somatic cells in uninfected halves and 8–18% in infected halves. Lymphocytes comprise 9–20% of the somatic cells in uninfected halves and 5–11% in infected halves. Epithelial cells are low in goat milk, but identification by light



Fig. 10. Herd test-day composite MSCC for cows by state and region of the United States during 2000–2005. NY, n = 853,103 cows; PA, n = 964,539; WI, n = 1,446,846 cows; Southeast, n = 317,174 cows; CA, n = 2,362,952 cows. Mean (±standard error) MSCC are shown.

microscopy is difficult because of the presence of cytoplasmic particles in goat milk. An early study reported that epithelial cells comprised less than 1% of the total cells. More recent studies reported that 6% of the cells in uninfected mammary halves were epithelial in origin (Contreras, 1998). Because milk secretion in the goat is apocrine (Wooding et al., 1970), cytoplasmic particles are shed into milk from the apical portion of mammary secretory cells. The numbers of cytoplasmic particles in milk of uninfected mammary halves range from 71 to 306×10^3 ml⁻¹ and for infected mammary halves from 98 to 231×10^3 ml⁻¹ (Dulin et al., 1983). Although the majority of these particles are generally anucleated, approximately 1% contain nuclear fragments (Dulin et al., 1982).

Limited data exist on changes in differential MSCC throughout lactation for ewes. Similar to dairy cows, the macrophage is the predominant cell type (46-84%) in milk from uninfected mammary glands of ewes (Cuccuru et al., 1997). The PMN comprise 2-28% of the cell population and lymphocytes (11-20%). Plasma cells are present in small numbers in colostrum (0-20%), as well as epithelial cells (1-2%). For infected mammary glands, the percentage of PMN increases to 50% at a MSCC of $200 \times 10^3 \text{ ml}^{-1}$ and to 90% at a MSCC over $3 \times 10^6 \text{ ml}^{-1}$. Cytoplasmic particles are normal constituents in ewe milk and colostrum. However, concentrations are 10 times less than counts in goat milk, averaging 15×10^3 cells/ml (Martinez et al., 1997). A recent study by Albenzio (2004), reported no significant stage of lactation effect on differential MSCC.

3.6. Mechanisms responsible for increased MSCC during infection

Increases in MSCC during intramammary infection are an essential part of the mammary gland's defenses against an invading pathogen. The initial increases in milk somatic cells are primarily due to the recruitment of circulating PMN from the circulation to the inflamed tissue (Persson-Waller et al., 1997). Once PMN have migrated to the gland and become activated, they release a number of anti-bacterial components that are essential for successful host clearance of the infectious pathogen (Paape et al., 2002, 2003).

Recruitment of PMN to the gland occurs through a process referred to as chemotaxis (Wagner and Roth, 2000). Chemoattractants are soluble molecules secreted from inflamed tissue which enable directional migration of PMN to the site of infection. In addition to chemoattractants, PMN chemotaxis requires the expression and interaction of complementary adhesion molecules on PMN and endothelial cells, the latter of which line the luminal surface of the vascular wall and regulate leukocyte trafficking (Carlos and Harlan, 1994; Wagner and Roth, 2000).

Within the past decade, the mechanisms responsible for PMN recruitment, and thus increases in milk SCC, have been elucidated in small ruminants such as sheep. As mentioned previously, surface adhesion molecules play a requisite role in PMN adherence to and migration through the endothelial lining of the vascular wall (Carlos and Harlan, 1994; Wagner and Roth, 2000). Similar to other species, ovine PMN express L-selectin (CD62L) and CD18 (Persson-Waller and Colditz, 1998). L-Selectin is constitutively expressed on PMN surfaces and mediates rolling of PMN along the endothelium lining of post-capillary venules. CD18 mediates firm attachment of PMN to the endothelium and facilitates PMN transendothelial migration. The intensity of L-selectin staining is lower on ovine PMN in milk compared with those in blood (Persson-Waller and Colditz, 1998). In contrast, CD18 staining is higher on milkderived ovine PMN than on those obtained from blood. The differential surface expression of these two ovine adhesion molecules between pre- and post-migrated PMN is consistent with the shedding of L-selectin and the upregulation of CD18 during transendothelial migration and is comparable to that seen in other species, including cattle (Riollet et al., 2000) and humans (Keeney et al., 1993).

Cytokines play a critical role in PMN recruitment to inflamed tissue (Wagner and Roth, 2000). These soluble, cell-derived molecules influence cell responses, such as adhesion molecule expression, by binding to cell surface receptors and activating intracellular signal transduction pathways leading to transcriptional activation. In humans, two well-described pro-inflammatory cytokines, TNF- α and IL-1 β , induce vascular endothelial adhesion molecule expression, thereby, promoting PMN transendothelial migration to the site of infection (Carlos and Harlan, 1994; Wagner and Roth, 2000). Another cytokine involved in PMN recruitment is IL-8, which is directly chemotactic for PMN (Harada et al., 1994; Wagner and Roth, 2000).

There are data to suggest that the ovine orthologues of the aforementioned human cytokines have a similar role in mediating PMN recruitment to infected mammary glands. Experimental intramammary infection of sheep with *Staphylococcus aureus* or *Escherichia coli* has been shown to induce a significant increase in milk leukocytes within 24 h of infection (Persson-Waller et al., 1997). Similar to cattle (Bannerman et al., 2004), *E. coli* intramammary infection elicited a more rapid recruitment of PMN to the gland than *S. aureus*. Interestingly, this delay in PMN recruitment in response to *S. aureus* in both cattle and sheep correlated with impaired clearance of *S. aureus* relative to that of *E. coli*. Maximal increases in milk levels of ovine TNF- α and IL-8 preceded or were temporally coincident with maximal PMN recruitment to glands infected with either pathogen (Persson-Waller et al., 1997). Relative to *E. coli*-infected glands, a delay in induction of peak levels of these cytokines in *S. aureus*infected quarters corresponded with a respective delay in maximal leukocyte recruitment (i.e., elevated milk SCC).

In contrast to the induction of comparable concentrations of TNF- α and IL-8 in glands infected with either pathogen, appreciable levels of IL-1ß were only detected in S. aureus-infected glands. Elevations in milk IL-1B levels in S. aureus-infected glands paralleled increases in milk neutrophils. IL-1 β production in sheep is not only elicited by S. aureus, as intramammary infection by another Gram-positive bacterium, Staphylococcus epidermidis, has similarly been reported to elicit its production (Winter and Colditz, 2002; Winter et al., 2003). The minimal induction of IL-1 β in response to E. coli is consistent with another report demonstrating negligible production of ovine IL-1 β in quarters infused with endotoxin (Waller et al., 1997), a highly immunostimulatory component of the cell wall of all Gram-negative bacteria, including E. coli. Similar to E. coli intramammary infection, increases in ovine TNF- α and IL-8 were detected in endotoxin-challenged quarters.

That increases in milk levels of TNF- α , IL-8 and IL-1β following intramammary infection are temporally coincident with increases in milk PMN suggests a role for these cytokines in ovine PMN recruitment. Direct evidence supporting this notion has been provided in studies investigating the direct effects of these cytokines on changes in PMN levels in lactating ovine glands (Persson et al., 1996). Infusion of ovine IL-1 β or TNF- α into either the teat cisterns or udders of sheep induced an increase in leukocytes, the majority of which were PMN. Infusion of ovine IL-8 into the teat cistern, but not the udder, elicited an increase in PMN. Although IL-8 has been reported in vitro to be chemotactic for both caprine (Barber et al., 1999) and ovine PMN (Mulder and Colditz, 1993), the lack of an effect of IL-8 on PMN recruitment when infused into the ovine udder remains unexplained. One may speculate that a low potency of IL-8 combined with the dilutional effect of milk may have ablated its chemotactic activity. Together, the identification of cytokines and adhesion molecules responsible for PMN recruitment enhances our understanding of the mechanism by which elevations in MSCC occur during intramammary infection.

4. Conclusions

Intramammary infection is the major cause for elevated SCC in milk of dairy ruminants. The innate immune system calls into play a host of cytokines critical in the early recruitment of PMN to the mammary gland in response to invading mastitis pathogens. The increase in MSCC due to stage of lactation and parity for cows and sheep are mainly the result of intramammary infections. While intramammary infection increases MSCC for goats, other non-infectious factors such as estrus, season and milk yield will also increase counts in goat milk. For non-infected goat halves, a progressive increase in MSCC is also observed with parity and advanced lactation. North American goat dairymen have difficulties in maintaining bulk tank MSCC below the threshold of $1,000,000 \text{ ml}^{-1}$. There is currently no legal limit for goat milk in the EU. Non-infectious factors that contribute to elevations in MSCC for goats need to be considered when establishing legal cell count limits.

References

- Albenzio, M., 2004. Effects of somatic cell count and stage of lactation on the plasmin activity and cheese-making properties of ewe milk. J. Dairy Sci. 87, 533–542.
- Bannerman, D.D., Paape, M.J., Lee, J.W., Zhao, X., Hope, J.C., Rainard, P., 2004. *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* elicit differential innate immune responses following intramammary infection. Clin. Diagn. Lab. Immunol. 11, 463–472.
- Barber, M.R., Pantschenko, A.G., Hinckley, L.S., Yang, T.J., 1999. Inducible and constitutive in vitro neutrophil chemokine expression by mammary epithelial and myoepithelial cells. Clin. Diagn. Lab. Immunol. 6, 791–798.
- Carlos, T.M., Harlan, J.M., 1994. Leukocyte–endothelial adhesion molecules. Blood 84, 2068–2101.
- Contreras, A., 1998. Mamitis caprina II. Ovis 54, 114.
- Corrales, J.C., Sanchez, A., Luengo, C., Poveda, J.B., Contreras, A., 2004. Effect of clinical contagious agalactia on the bulk tank milk somatic cell count in Murciano-Granadina goat herds. J. Dairy Sci. 87, 3165–3171.
- Cuccuru, C., Moroni, P., Zecconi, A., Casu, S., Caria, A., Contini, A., 1997. Milk differential cell counts in relation to total counts in Sardinian ewes. Small Rumin. Res. 25, 169–173.
- Directive 92/46ECC Council, 1992. Diario Official de las Comunidades Europeas, vol. L 268. European Council, Brussels, Belgium, pp. 1–34.
- Droke, E.A., Paape, M.J., DiCarlo, A.L., 1993. Prevalence of high somatic cell counts in bulk tank goat milk. J. Dairy Sci. 76, 1035–1039.
- Dulin, A.M., Paape, M.J., Schultze, W.D., Weinland, B.T., 1983. Effect of parity, stage of lactation, and intramammary infection on concentration of somatic cells and cytoplasmic particles in goat milk. J. Dairy Sci. 66, 2426–2433.

- Dulin, A.M., Paape, M.J., Wergin, W.P., 1982. Differentiation and enumeration of somatic cells in goat milk. J. Food Prot. 45, 435–439.
- Eberhart, R.J., Gilmore, H.C., Hutchinson, L.J., Spencer, S.B., 1979. Somatic cell counts in DHI samples. In: Proceedings of the 18th Ann. Nat. Mastitis Council Mtg., Louisville, KY, p. 2.
- Gonzalez-Rodriguez, M.C., Gonzalo, C., San-Primitivo, F., Cármenes, P., Rodriguez, M.C.G., 1995. Relationship between somatic cell count and intramammary infection of the half udder in dairy ewes. J. Dairy Sci. 78, 2753–2759.
- Gonzalo, C., Carriedo, J.A., Gómez, J.D., Gómez, L.D., San-Primitivo, F., 1994a. Diurnal variations in the somatic cell count of ewe milk. J. Dairy Sci. 77, 1856.
- Gonzalo, C., Carriedo, J.A., Baro, J.A., San-Primitivo, F., 1994b. Factors influencing variation of test day milk yield, somatic cell count, fat and protein in dairy sheep. J. Dairy Sci. 8, 177.
- Gonzalo, C., San Primitivo, F., 1998. Somatic cell counts in ewe's milk. Ovis 56, 56.
- Gonzalo, C., Carriedo, J.A., Blanco, M.A., Beneitez, E., Juarez, M.T., De La Fuente, L.F., San Primitivo, F., 2005. Factors of variation influencing bulk tank somatic cell count in dairy sheep. J. Dairy Sci. 88, 969–974.
- Harada, A., Sekido, N., Akahoshi, T., Wada, T., Mukaida, N., Matsushima, K., 1994. Essential involvement of interleukin-8 (IL-8) in acute inflammation. J. Leuk. Biol. 56, 559–564.
- Haenlein, G.F.W., Hinckley, L.S., 1995. Goat milk somatic cell count situation in USA. Int. J. Anim. Sci. 10, 305–310.
- Jaartsveld, F.H.J., van Puffelen, E., Oskan, J., Tielen, M.J.M., Verstegen, M.W.A., Albers, G.A.A., 1983. Somatic cell counts in milk of dairy cows in relation to stage of lactation, age, production level and presence of pathogens. Neth. Milk Dairy J. 37, 79–90.
- Keeney, S.E., Schmalstieg, F.C., Palkowetz, K.H., Rudloff, H.E., Le, B.M., Goldman, A.S., 1993. Activated neutrophils and neutrophil activators in human milk: increased expression of CD11b and decreased expression of L-selectin. J. Leuk. Biol. 54, 97–104.
- Lerondelle, C., Richard, Y., Issartial, J., 1992. Factors affecting somatic cell counts in goat milk. Small Rumin. Res. 8, 129–139.
- Luengo, C., Sanchez, A., Corrales, J.C., Fernandez, C., Contreras, A., 2004. Influence of intramammary infection and non-infection factors on somatic cell counts in dairy goats. J. Dairy Res. 71, 169–174.
- Marco, J.C., 1994. Mastitis in sheep of the Latxa breed: epidemiology, diagnosis and control. Thesis Doctoral. Universidad de Zaragoza.
- Martinez, J.R., Gonzalo, C., San Primitivo, F., 1997. Valication of methods for somatic cell counts in ewe's milk. ITEA Tomo II 18, 570.
- McDonald, J.S., Anderson, A.J., 1981. Total and differential somatic cell counts in secretions from noninfected bovine mammary glands. The peripartum period. Am. J. Vet. Res. 42, 1366–1368.
- McDougall, S., Voermans, M., 2002. Influence of estrus on somatic cell count in dairy goats. J. Dairy Sci. 85, 378–383.
- Miller, R.H., Paape, M.J., Acton, J.C., 1986. Comparison of milk somatic cell counts by Coulter and Fossomatic counters. J. Dairy Sci. 69, 1942–1946.
- Moroni, P., Pisoni, G., Ruffo, G., Boettcher, P.J., 2005. Risk factors for intramammary infections and relationship with somatic-cell counts in Italian dairy goats. Prev. Vet. Med. 69, 163–173.
- Mulder, K., Colditz, I.G., 1993. Migratory responses of ovine neutrophils to inflammatory mediators in vitro and in vivo. J. Leuk. Biol. 53, 273–278.
- Natzke, R.P., Everett, R.W., Postle, D.S., 1972. Normal milk somatic cell counts. J. Milk Food Tech. 35, 261–263.

- Norman, H.D., Miller, R.H., Wright, J.R., Wiggans, G.R., 2000. Herd and state means for somatic cell count from dairy herd improvement. J. Dairy Sci. 83, 2782–2788.
- Östensson, K., 1993. Variations during lactation in total and differential leukocyte counts, *N*-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase, antitrypsin and serum albumin in foremilk and residual milk from non-infected quarters in the bovine. Acta Vet. Scand. 34, 83–93.
- Östensson, K., Hageltorn, M., Åström, G., 1988. Differential cell counting in fraction-collected milk from dairy cows. Acta Vet. Scand. 29, 493–500.
- Othmane, M.H., De La Fuente, L.F., Carriedo, J.A., San Primitivo, F., 2002. Heritability and genetic correlations of test day milk yield and composition, individual laboratory cheese yield, and somatic cell count for dairy ewes. J. Dairy Sci. 85, 2692– 2698.
- Paape, M.J., Bannerman, D.D., Zhao, X., Lee, J.W., 2003. The bovine neutrophil: structure and function in blood and milk. Vet. Res. 34, 597–627.
- Paape, M.J., Contreras, A., 1997. Historical perspective on the evolution of the milk somatic cell count. Flemish Vet. J. 66 (Suppl.), 93–105.
- Paape, M., Mehrzad, J., Zhao, X., Detilleux, J., Burvenich, C., 2002. Defense of the bovine mammary gland by polymorphonuclear neutrophil leukocytes. J. Mamm. Gland Biol. Neoplasia 7, 109– 121.
- Paape, M.J., Poutrel, B., Contreras, A., Marco, J.C., Capuco, A.V., 2001. Milk somatic cells and lactation in small ruminants. J. Dairy Sci. 84 (E. Suppl.), 237–244.
- Paape, M.J., Schultze, W.D., Miller, R.H., Smith, J.W., 1979. Influence of exercise and stage of lactation on the milk somatic cell response of normal quarters to adrenocorticotrophin. J. Food Prot. 42, 719–723.
- Pengov, A., 2001. The role of coagulase negative *Staphylococcus* spp. and associated somatic cell counts in the ovine mammary gland. J. Dairy Sci. 84, 572–574.
- Persson, K., Colditz, I.G., Flapper, P., Franklin, N.A., Seow, H.F., 1996. Cytokine-induced inflammation in the ovine teat and udder. Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. 53, 73–85.
- Persson-Waller, K., Colditz, I.G., Seow, H.F., 1997. Accumulation of leucocytes and cytokines in the lactating ovine udder during mastitis due to *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli*. Res. Vet. Sci. 62, 63–66.
- Persson-Waller, K., Colditz, I.G., 1998. Expression of surface antigens on blood and mammary leukocytes in lactating and dry ewes. Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. 62, 273–278.
- Riollet, C., Rainard, P., Poutrel, B., 2000. Differential induction of complement fragment C5a and inflammatory cytokines during intramammary infections with *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. Clin. Diagn. Lab. Innunol. 7, 161–167.
- Romeo, M., Esnal, A., Contreras, A., Adúriz, J.J., González, L., Marco, J.C., 1996. Evolution of milk somatic cell counts along the lactation period in sheep of the Latxa breed. In: Proceedings of the Somatic Cells and Milk of Small Ruminants, Wageningen, The Netherlands, pp. 21–25.
- Rupp, R., Lagriffoul, G., Astruc, J.M., Barillet, F., 2003. Genetic parameters for milk somatic cell scores and relationships with production traits in French Lacaune dairy sheep. J. Dairy Sci. 86, 1476–1481.
- Salama, A.A.K., Such, X., Caja, G., Rovia, M., Casals, R., Albanell, E., Marin, M.P., Marti, A., 2003. Effects of once versus twice daily milking throughout lactation on milk yield and milk composition in dairy goats. J. Dairy Sci. 86, 1673–1680.

- Sánchez, A., Contreras, A., Corrales, J.C., Marco, J.C., 2001. Relationships between infection with caprine arthritis encephalitis virus, intramammary bacterial infection and somatic cell counts in dairy goats. Vet. Rec. 148, 711–714.
- Sánchez, A., Corrales, J.C., Marco, J., Contreras, A., 1998. Use of somatic cell counts for control of mastitis in goats. En: Mamitis caprinas II. Ovis 54, 37–51.
- Sheldrake, R.F., Hoare, R.J.T., McGregor, G.D., 1983. Lactation stage, parity, and infection affecting somatic cells, electrical conductivity, serum albumin in milk. J. Dairy Sci. 66, 542–547.
- Suarez, V.H., Busetti, M.R., Miranda, A.O., Calvinho, L.F., Bedotti, D.O., Canavesio, V.R., 2002. Effect of infectious status and parity on somatic cell count and California Mastitis test in Pampinta dairy ewes. J. Vet. Med. B 49, 230–234.
- Wagner, J.G., Roth, R.A., 2000. Neutrophil migration mechanisms, with an emphasis on the pulmonary vasculature. Pharmacol. Rev. 52, 349–374.

- Waller, K.P., Colditz, I.G., Flapper, P., Seow, H.F., 1997. Leukocyte and cytokine accumulation in the ovine teat and udder during endotoxin-induced inflammation. Vet. Res. Commun. 21, 101–115.
- Wilson, D.J., Stewart, K.N., Sears, P.M., 1995. Effects of stage of lactation, production, parity and season on somatic cell counts in infected and uninfected dairy goats. Small Rumin. Res. 16, 165–169.
- Winter, P., Colditz, I.G., 2002. Immunological responses of the lactating ovine udder following experimental challenge with *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. 89, 57–65.
- Winter, P., Schilcher, F., Fuchs, K., Colditz, I.G., 2003. Dynamics of experimentally induced *Staphylococcus epidermidis* mastitis in East Friesian milk ewes. J. Dairy Res. 70, 157–164.
- Wooding, F.B.P., Peaker, M., Linzell, J.L., 1970. Theories of milk secretion: evidence from the electron microscopic examination of milk. Nature 226, 762.